

Brent O. Hatch (5715)  
Mark F. James (5295)  
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, PC  
10 West Broadway, Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101  
Telephone: (801) 363-6363  
Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice)  
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
Bank of America Tower – Suite 2800  
100 Southeast Second Street  
Miami, Florida 33131  
Telephone: (305) 539-8400  
Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)  
Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)  
Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)  
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
333 Main Street  
Armonk, New York 10504  
Telephone: (914) 749-8200  
Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stuart Singer (admitted pro hac vice)  
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
401 East Las Olas Blvd.  
Suite 1200  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301  
Telephone: (954) 356-0011  
Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Devan V. Padmanabhan (admitted pro hac vice)  
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP  
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402  
Telephone: (612) 340-2600  
Facsimile: (612) 340-2868

*Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.*

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

---

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,  
a Delaware corporation,  
Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,  
  
vs.

NOVELL, INC.,  
a Delaware corporation,  
Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**SCO'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE ITS REPLY MEMORANDUM  
IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF SCO'S  
MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING  
APPORTIONMENT OF THE  
MICROSOFT AND SUN AGREEMENTS**

Civil No.: 2:04CV00139  
Judge Dale A. Kimball  
Magistrate Brooke C. Wells

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant The SCO Group (“SCO”) hereby moves the Court pursuant to District Court Rule 7-1(e) for an Order granting it leave to file its over-length Reply Memorandum in Further Support of SCO’s Motion in Limine Regarding Apportionment of the Microsoft and Sun Agreements (the “Apportionment Reply Memorandum”) that consists of 12 pages, exclusive of face sheet, tables of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

SCO’s Motion is made upon the grounds that the Opposition Memorandum of the indicated length is necessary given the complex nature of the issues raised by *Novell’s Opposition to SCO’s Motion in Limine Regarding Apportionment of Microsoft and Sun SCOSource Licenses Filed August 31, 2007* (the “Apportionment Opposition”) to the end that a Reply to Novell’s Apportionment Opposition of the same complexity was necessitated.

SCO has endeavored to be as concise as possible, but respectfully submits that the excess length is necessary to fully and fairly address the issues being placed before the Court.

SCO respectfully requests that it be allowed to file its Apportionment Reply Memorandum containing 12 pages, exclusive of face sheet, tables of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

DATED this 4th day of September, 2007.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.

Brent O. Hatch

Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

David Boies

Robert Silver

Stuart H. Singer

Stephen N. Zack

Edward Normand

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Devan V. Padmanabhan

*Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.*

By: /s/ Edward Normand

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc., hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on Defendant, Novell, Inc., on this 4th day of September, 2007, via CM/ECF to the following:

Thomas R. Karrenberg  
John P. Mullen  
Heather M. Sneddon  
ANDERSON & KARRENBURG  
700 Bank One Tower  
50 West Broadway  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Michael A. Jacobs  
Matthew I. Kreeger  
Kenneth W. Brakebill  
MORRISON & FOERSTER  
425 Market Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105-248

/s/ Edward Normand